

18 JULY, 2002

PAGE 4 OF 5

REMARKS

Claim 4. Was rejected under 35 U S C 102, and 35 USC 103(a) (Barrett) in view of (Lowery) in view of (Ferch), first paragraph in response.
Applicant has amended Claim 4 in response to replace Claim 4 submitted with application as filed.

Claims 12 and 17 are not allowable over the prior art of record and are rejected under 35 U S C 102(b), (Barrett).
Applicant has amended Claim 12 in response to replace Claim 12 submitted with application as filed.
Claim 17 has been deleted.

18 JULY, 2002

PAGE 5 OF 5

REMARKS

Applicant has amended the present application with new claims 4, 12.

The new claims highlight a distinction between the present invention and relevant art (Barrett) (Lowery) and (Ferch).

Barrett shows a system wherein power is routed from solar panels to a battery system and electric DC motor to aircompressor to a tank of water to a turbine turning in water to a AC generator to a charger and to the home. Such that if the solar panels fail to supply power the power company powers the motors to turn the system.

Unlike Barrett the present invention as represented in Claims 4 and 12 is a Power module system that uses an electric DC or AC motor and a alternator DC or a generator AC of the same voltage in combination with a at least one battery of the same voltage to create a generating loop.

There is no need to hook up to the power company or the solar panels or convert or invert power. System runs on same voltage. Inverter would only be hooked to power storage base to change from that voltage to 120 volts. Power being pulled out has to be calculated by AMPS being put in AMPS being used and AMPS being inverted by inverter.

Applicant believes the Claims are now in condition for allowance.

Mr NGUYEN if you have any questions please call me, Mathew E. RENO at
(760) 251-0039.